

# *Spent Fuel Management at Exelon*

*Kevin J. Carrabine, PE, PMP*

*Senior Project Manager, Limerick Generating Station*

## The Numbers

- Total Units: 17 operational, 4 retired.
  - (IL) Byron, Braidwood, Clinton, Dresden, LaSalle, Quad Cities, Zion
  - (PA) Limerick, Peach Bottom, TMI-1
  - (NJ) Oyster Creek
- Total fleet output: ~20% of commercial nuclear industry.
- Exelon spent fuel pools were never designed to accommodate all of the assemblies we will discharge over the life of the plants.

## The Numbers (continued)

- Total fleet discharge projections (assuming all units receive license renewal):
  - ~115,000 assemblies
  - ~25,000 MTU
  - ~2,200 transport systems

- DOE will not begin operations at a federal repository before 2015.
- DOE will accept fuel at rates outlined by the 1998 Viability Assessment Study rate (seen elsewhere) of 400, 600, 1200, 2000, and 3000 MTU/yr during the first five years of operation, and 3,000 MTU/yr beyond the fifth year.
- Under this scenario, we will have to build on-site, independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) at each of our sites to maintain full-core discharge reserve (FCDR).
- Exelon operating principles include maintaining FCDR at all sites.

- We have developed a number of management models to calculate our anticipated future costs for storing spent fuel on our sites until DOE accepts for disposal.
- The cost impact of dry cask storage installations fall in two categories:
  - Expenses incurred for dry cask storage during the operational lives of the units
  - Expenses incurred post-retirement to maintain the plant until DOE completes all removal of spent fuel
- **Estimated costs (nominal dollars):**
  - Operational expenses - \$25-30 million for ISFSI infrastructure, \$5-6 million/yr dual-unit site for cask loading campaigns
  - Post retirement operations and maintenance expenses incurred beyond the normal decommissioning schedule - decommissioning delays of 5 to 25 years at \$15 million/yr dual-unit site

- Exelon's management strategy is to ship all spent fuel to the permanent repository by rail
- Exelon's policy is to minimize the handling of spent fuel
  - Use dual-purpose (storage and transportation) dry cask storage systems at all sites
  - Use largest payload systems available, compatible with infrastructure

<u>Site</u>	<u>Loss of FCDR</u>
▪ Dresden	ISFSI in use (25 casks on pad)
▪ Oyster Creek	ISFSI in use (16 casks on pad)
▪ Peach Bottom	ISFSI in use (28 casks on pad)
▪ Zion	Wet Storage in use
▪ Quad Cities	2006 (dry run complete)
▪ Limerick	2009 (prelim design started)
▪ Byron	2011
▪ LaSalle	2012
▪ Braidwood	2013
▪ Clinton	2014
▪ Three Mile Island	2024

## Cask System selection criteria:

- Safety during physical operations
- Technical evaluation
- Site compatibility
- Supplier support
- All-In costs
- Internal/External stakeholder impact
- License status
- Project schedule

# *Limerick Generating Station*



## Public perception needs to be addressed

- How can you take the spent fuel out of the water? Is that safe?
- Why don't you shut the plant down now to avoid making more waste?
- What are the health risks? I hear the cancer rate will increase.
- How will my property value be affected?
- How do you protect the ISFSI from attack?
- How does the spent fuel get from Limerick to this Yucca Mountain?

## Public outreach must be active & continuous

- Cannot begin early enough
- Use of Community Advisory Panel
- Mailings to townships within Emergency Planning Zone
- Table-top models of ISFSI system
- Brochures to leave as “take-aways” at community gatherings

Educate, educate, educate.